1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
4	
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * 16CR10305-NMG UNITED STATES OF AMERICA*
6	* VS. * JULY 6, 2018
7	* 2:33 P.M. MARTIN GOTTESFELD *
8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * BOSTON, MA
9	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE NATHANIEL M. GORTON
11	DISTRICT JUDGE
12	(Motion Hearing)
13	(notion nout ing)
14	APPEARANCES:
15	AFFLARANCES.
16	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: DAVID J. D'ADDIO, AUSA
	United States Attorney's Office 1 Courthouse Way
17	Suite 9200 Boston, MA 02210
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: DAVID J. GRIMALDI, ESQ.
19	David J. Grimaldi, P.C. 9th Floor
20	675 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139
21	Court Reporter: Debra D. Lajoie, RPR-FCRR-CRI-RMR
22	1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210
23	
24	Proceeding reported and produced by computer-aided stenography
25	.,

6 JULY 2018 -- 2:33 P.M.

THE CLERK: This is Criminal Action

No. 16-10305, the United States of America v.

Martin Gottesfeld.

Will counsel please identify themselves for the record.

MR. D'ADDIO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

David D'Addio for the United States.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. D'Addio.

MR. GRIMALDI: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

David Grimaldi for Mr. Gottesfeld who is present.

THE COURT: Mr. Grimaldi, Mr. Gottesfeld, good afternoon to you.

We are here on Attorney Grimaldi's motion to withdraw as counsel. This is Docket No. 214.

The Court notes this is Attorney Grimaldi's second motion to withdraw, and it is actually the sixth time this Court has considered a motion to withdraw filed by defense counsel in this case.

The Court also understands that the Defendant does not assent to his counsel's motion. As a reminder, jury impanelment is scheduled to begin in this case two weeks from yesterday; that is, Thursday, July 19th, 2018, so this latest motion will be decided forthwith today.

I am going to want to confer with Defendant and his current counsel ex parte on the motion to withdraw before proceeding further, so I would ask Government's counsel to exit the courtroom.

But before you do, does the Government have a position on the Defendant's motion?

MR. D'ADDIO: Your Honor, our principal concern is maintaining the trial date. Beyond that, we take no position on the motion.

THE COURT: All right. Then I am going to have to conduct an ex parte conference with defense counsel and Defendant, so anybody not associated directly with the Defendant will need to withdraw.

MR. D'ADDIO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the record will reflect that Government's counsel and a bevy of individuals have left.

Mr. Grimaldi, do you know who is left in the Courtroom?

MR. GRIMALDI: I do see Mr. Gottesfeld's wife, Dana Gottesfeld, here in the courtroom. I do see two other gentlemen sitting to the right-hand side of the room, one next to Ms. Gottesfeld and one two rows up. I do not know who they are.

THE COURT: All right. Might I inquire as to

1 the identification of the two gentlemen in the back of 2 the courtroom? 3 MR. HIGGINS: My name's Owen Higgins. I'm a 4 reporter working on a story with Dana and Martin. 5 THE COURT: What's the position of you, 6 Mr. Grimaldi, with respect to his attendance? 7 MR. GRIMALDI: Well, I should note that 8 Mr. Gottesfeld asked me to object to your order 9 excluding both the Government and other members of the 10 public from this hearing. 11 However, I assent to the Court's -- I personally 12 assent to the Court's order. There's a difference as 13 it relates to Mr. Gottesfeld's individual position and 14 my position, but right before Your Honor took the bench 15 here today, he asked me to note that, his own personal 16 objection, so I am doing that. 17 I would ask that all people, including 18 Ms. Gottesfeld, as well as the two individuals, the two 19 men here in the courtroom, be removed from the 20 courtroom pending Your Honor's --21 THE COURT: Okay. Who's the other gentleman, 22 sir? 23 THE MARSHAL: Sorry. Your Honor, US Marshals. 24 THE COURT: Oh, all right. US Marshals.

Well, I'm going to ask the gentleman who is with

25

the media to step out. I'm not going to require

Mrs. Gottesfeld to exit because I think what I have to
say is pertinent to her, but I would ask the reporter
if he would please step out. We will notify you as
soon as the others are able to come back in.

All right. Now the record will reflect that, other than the US Marshals, only the Defendant, Mr. Gottesfeld, and his wife, who I believe is Dana Gottesfeld, remain in the courtroom with Attorney Grimaldi.

Mr. Grimaldi, the motion is yours. I will hear you first, and then I will allow Mr. Gottesfeld to speak.

MR. GRIMALDI: First, Your Honor, I fully acknowledge that I am, I believe, the fifth lawyer in this case. Mr. Gottesfeld was first represented by Mr. Tor Ekeland, then by Attorney Jane Peachy of the Federal Defender's Office, Timothy Watkins of the Federal Defender's Office and Attorney Raymond Gillespie. I believe that an associate of Mr. Ekeland also filed an appearance in the case, but Mr. Ekeland was the lawyer in this instance.

So I understand that history, and I also understand the history that you recited, Your Honor, that this is my second motion to withdraw and the sixth

motion overall.

As it relates to the first motion to withdraw filed by myself, that was at Mr. Gottesfeld's request. It was just a few weeks ago. It was not something that I intended to file. I did not want to file it, but he asked me to file it, and he asked me also to attach the exhibit that was attached to that motion. So, in a sense, while I literally filed it, it was Mr. Gottesfeld's motion in that regard.

And also I'd just note that of course, before I represented Mr. Gottesfeld, I had no -- well, the prior motions of counsel, of my predecessor counsel, they might have their own reasons, but of course my reasons are separate and apart for moving to withdraw in this case.

I want to be careful here. I do not want to disclose more than I need to disclose to you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Nor do I want to hear it.

MR. GRIMALDI: Yes, right. So I attached four exhibits --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GRIMALDI: -- and Mr. Gottesfeld has been apprised as to what they are, so he knows what they are. And those exhibits, just so the record here is

clear, Exhibit A is a posting on what's called a Rip-off Report, Rip-off as if you're being -- you're a victim of theft, Rip-off Report; Exhibit B is Mr. Gottesfeld's own website, www.freemartyg.com; Exhibit C I believe is the pastebin.com posting in the name of Mr. Gottesfeld; and Exhibit D is the Twitter posting. I think I have those orders right.

So this first came to my attention when I looked at Mr. Gottesfeld's personal page and noticed something I had not seen before, which was a retweet, in the parlance of our times, of a posting in the name of a Twitter account, "Beware David Grimaldi." Of course I am David Grimaldi. And when I clicked on that retweet on Mr. Gottesfeld's own page, I was brought to a Twitter account that I have every reason to believe was created at the request of Mr. Gottesfeld, perhaps with the assistance of his wife who's here in this room, although I don't know that to be true.

And if I might, the website posting freemartyg.com has a narrative that says, "Attorney Grimaldi's refusing to move for recusal of Marty's Judge even though Marty's Judge has a \$50,000 conflict of interest. Marty fact-checks the reasons provided to him by Attorney Grimaldi for his lack of action."

Going to the tweet, Your Honor, it links to various things, including the pastebin account where there's a further recitation of Mr. Gottesfeld's alleged grievances against me as well as a link to a Dilbert cartoon by the cartoonist Scott Adams, a well-known cartoonist, essentially I think trying to mock my discussions with Mr. Gottesfeld, although I don't quite agree with it.

And then of course this Rip-off Report. What I think is most telling here is the Rip-off Report, so I'll go directly to that. That report was posted, by its own account, on June 26, 2018. It was reported by "freemartyg" of Somerville, Massachusetts. It lists the name of my law firm, of which I am the only member, David J. Grimaldi, PC; it lists my business address, my business number, my website link, www.attorneygrimaldi.com; it lists a category of complaints, lawyers or lawyer complaints, and the title of it is, "David J. Grimaldi, PC, tried to pressure me into a plea and refuses to make key oral arguments and motions to defend me in Cambridge, Massachusetts."

And then when you read that, Your Honor, and I assume that you have already, but Mr. Gottesfeld makes a series of accusations against me. First, he describes what he calls the high-profile nature of his

case and lists a number of links that relate to his case. But the text of the report says, "This is a warning to other defendants in Massachusetts regarding Attorney David J. Grimaldi. My name is Martin Gottesfeld, and I am an imprisoned human rights activist who advocates for abused children. I guess you could call me a high-profile Defendant, which is a relevant and important part of this warning." Then he lists a series of publications that have discussed his case.

Then he says, "David J. Grimaldi is my lawyer, and he has recently tried to pressure me into taking a plea agreement even though I have done nothing wrong. He has apparently explored trying using my wife as leverage to induce me to plea, but she wouldn't help him. He refused to make a key oral argument which I wanted him to make and which I insisted upon as best as I could. I believe that, as a direct result" -- and it has a picture of me, Your Honor -- "I believe that, as a direct result, I remain incarcerated while Mr. Grimaldi continues getting paid to 'defend' me, but now that I am refusing to plea, he's refusing to do his job and stand up for me.

"He refuses, amongst other things, to move for the recusal of a judge who has a \$50,000 conflict of

interest. Mr. Grimaldi similarly refuses to file a motion pointing out this judge has made a contradictory ruling, denying me same rights another defendant in the very same court.

"Mr. Grimaldi no longer seems like my lawyer, if he ever truly was. Mr. Grimaldi appears to work more diligently to help convicted child predators than he does for me. I highly recommend that, if he gets assigned to your case, that you fire him. I doubt the authenticity of his Avvo reviews" -- Avvo is spelled A-v-v-o -- "and I fear that he may be working for feds, which happens more than you may think."

Then there is a website link to an article from Ohio.com describing a situation -- I have a copy of that article, if you haven't looked at it, Your Honor -- in which a criminal defense attorney essentially turned on his own clients and worked with the Government to help convict them as a cooperating witness.

Through these series of publications,

Mr. Gottesfeld attacks me, attacks my practice, he

attacks my livelihood. I am a sole practitioner; I am

not a large law firm that can withstand -- well, I am a

sole practitioner. This is my business. He

misrepresents things that have happened between us; he

smears me by suggesting, for example, that I've violated ethical rules by fabricating or otherwise manufacturing reviews on Avvo.com, which is a lawyer referral website where prior clients might list -- describe their experience with their lawyer and offer a rating. And, candidly, I have a good rating with Avvo. And Mr. Gottesfeld is attempting to smear me and alleges those are fabricated reviews.

He of course alleges that I pressured him to plead guilty. In such a serious case, I have talked about pleas with Mr. Gottesfeld as little as almost any case. He seems not to be interested. I barely talk about the topic. I mean, I can defend myself against these charges, but I don't feel that I need to.

I know that there's a history here, and I know that Mr. Gottesfeld has -- that there's a history in this case and that it's not always been easy for him, for him, but for others too. I have done my best to represent him. I've done my best to do right by him. I have filed motions; and, as you know, Your Honor, I asked more time for this trial, I asked more time for various things, I didn't always get it, but I absolutely have done my best to represent him.

And we haven't always agreed. Sometimes we did, sometimes we did; sometimes we didn't. We haven't

always agreed, but now he's attacking me, he's attacking my livelihood, he's attacking my business. I think there were several grounds on which I could move to withdraw. I can enumerate them for you if you'd like.

But I do not believe I can effectively represent him anymore. It's not something I want to do. I've represented clients where we haven't always agreed. I've represented clients who were of their own opinions. I've represented clients who -- where there's been challenges, but this is too much. This is too much. I can't tell you that, after what's happened, not before, but now, that I can adequately represent him.

I can say a lot more, but I'm really trying to be restrained. I've recited what's in the papers I submitted to you. There's actually more information published online. I don't think I need to say more, so unless you have further inquiry, Your Honor, I won't say more.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Grimaldi.

 $\label{eq:comment} \hbox{I will allow Mr. Gottesfeld to comment if he} \\ \hbox{wishes to.}$

THE DEFENDANT: I stand by everything that is in that pastebin post and in those posts. I think it very

clearly expresses an opinion, rather than a matter of fact, which I'm entitled to express under the First Amendment.

Unlike prior counsel, diligent search for conflicts of interest on the part of Mr. Grimaldi has not turned up any. I have similarly asked him to search for conflicts of interest. He has not found any, so I am unable to ask for a replacement counsel on the basis of any known conflict of interest.

I am left with only the First Amendment to voice my concerns about the handling of my case. I am not allowed to file letter non-motions on the docket anymore. I did not want a new lawyer. I do not want more delay. I wish to proceed to trial. And, as far as I'm concerned, the behavior that Mr. Grimaldi has expressed here is all protected speech.

THE COURT: Do you want to defend yourself in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not, Your Honor, wish to waive my right to effective assistance of counsel.

MR. GRIMALDI: Again, there is more that I could say, but I think that I've said enough to justify my motion and my request, so I won't.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Grimaldi?

THE COURT: All right. Before the Government

returns, I will say this: I am going to deny your motion, Mr. Grimaldi. You are duty-bound to continue your representation as a member of this District's CJA panel, and I do not find that an irreparable breakdown has occurred.

I will say that, as far as the Court can say from this vintage point, that you have diligently represented your client to the best of your ability and that you have been zealous in your defense of your client, notwithstanding the statements made about you on the internet by your client.

Mr. Gottesfeld, it behooves you to do everything you can to maintain a working relationship with Mr. Grimaldi because trial is quickly approaching. I strongly advise you to raise any disagreements of strategy solely with your attorney, Mr. Grimaldi, rather than in the internet world and note that, if you persist in publishing those discussions with Attorney Grimaldi, you are exposing privileged attorney-client communications.

With that, I will recall the Government's counsel and anybody else who wishes to enter the courtroom. Would you please do that, Court Security Officer.

All right. The record will reflect that those

who have been in attendance earlier in the proceeding have been invited to come back into the courtroom and have returned.

This Court denies Attorney Grimaldi's motion to withdraw, but the Court warns Mr. Gottesfeld that, if you and/or those acting on your behalf -- and I refer specifically to your wife, Dana Gottesfeld -- persist in the internet or telephonic conduct recently displayed, you and/or she may find yourselves subject to an independent prosecution for obstruction of justice under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1503, and if you don't know what that says, I commend it to your reading. This case is going to be resolved one way or the other within the next month, so I would urge you to avoid any similar activities in the meantime.

I understand motions in limine have been filed. As a reminder, oppositions to those motions, lists of witnesses and exhibits and requests for jury instructions are to be filed on or before Monday, July 9th, 2018. We will convene here for a final pretrial conference on Tuesday, July 17th, 2018, at 3:00 p.m. Impanelment of the jury will start on Thursday, July 19th, 2018, and the trial will begin on Monday, July 23rd, 2018.

```
Is there any further business to come before the
 1
      Court in these proceedings?
 2
 3
              MR. GRIMALDI: No.
              THE COURT: Mr. Grimaldi?
 4
              MR. GRIMALDI: No, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. D'Addio?
 6
              MR. D'ADDIO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: We're adjourned.
              THE CLERK: All rise. The Defendant is
9
10
      remanded.
11
              (Adjourned, 2:55 p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, Debra D. Lajoie, RPR-FCRR-CRI-RMR, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes in the above-entitled case. /s/ Debra D. Lajoie 11/15/19